Impact of Turbine Inlet Cooling Technologies on Capacity Augmentation and Reduction in Carbon Footprint for Power Production

> Dharam V. Punwani Avalon Consulting, Inc.

Presented at Electric Power 2008 Baltimore, MD May 6-8, 2008



#### **Presentation Outline**

- Electric Power Generation Carbon Footprint
- Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC)
- TIC Benefits
- Impact of TIC on Reducing Carbon Footprint
- TIC Technologies
- Impact of TIC Technologies on Capacity Augmentation
- Conclusions



#### **Carbon Footprint for Electric Power Generation**

- Many organizations, including, power producers are trying to reduce the carbon footprint (reducing emissions of carbon dioxide) of their products
- Most of options for reducing the carbon footprint for power production come at a premium costs that ratepayers eventually end up paying
- TIC is an attractive option for reducing the carbon footprint as well as the cost of producing power



## Power Demand and Electric Energy Price Rise with Hot Weather

Aug 2001 Load & Day Ahead Pricing



 Price of electric energy goes up significantly during the peak demand periods: as much as 6 times the price during the off-peak periods



## CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions (lbs/kWh) During Summer (California)



Y-Axis Unit: CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, Lbs/kWh Source: Scot Duncan Presentation at ASHRAE June 2007



## 2004 EPA Carbon Factors, Ibs/MWh

State	Average	Non- Basoload
		Daseluau
Illinois	1,200	2,200
Indiana	2,100	2,200
lowa	1,900	2,400
Michigan	1,500	2,000
Minnesota	1500	2,000
Ohio	1,800	2,000
Wisconsin	1,700	2,100

Source: John Kelly Presentation at the Midwest Cogeneration Association Meeting, March 13, 2008



## **Power Generation Carbon Footprint**

Power System	Heat Rate* (LHV), Btu/kWh	Carbon Footprint
CT in Combined-Cycle	6,500-7000	Lowest
CT in Simple-Cycle	8,000-10,000	
Steam Turbine	12,000-15,000	Highest

Carbon Footprints of Fossil Fuels

\* Natural Gas: Lowest

Fuel Oil:

Coal (only applicable to steam turbines): Highest



## **CT-Based System Characteristic**

Increase in Ambient Air Temperature Causes a Triple Whammy:

- Reduces Power Output, MW
- Increases Heat Rate, Btu/MWh

 Reduces Thermal Energy in the CT Exhaust Gases, Btu/hr



#### Effect of Inlet Air Temperature on CT Output Capacity

Increase in Inlet Air Temperature Decreases Power Output Capacity





#### Reduced Summer Capacity of Combustion Turbine Power Plants is Well Recognized

Fuel	Winter Capacity, MW	Summer Capacity, MW	Lost Summer Capacity, % of Winter Capacity
Coal	315,556	313,380	1
Petroleum	61,171	58,548	9
Natural Gas	412,241	383,061	9

Source: U.S. Department of Energy's Energy Information Agency 2005 Database



#### Effect of Inlet Air Temperature on CT Heat Rate

Increase in Inlet Air Temperature Increase Heat Rate





#### Effect of Inlet Air Temperature on CT Exhaust Gas Mass Flow and Temperature





#### Why Cool to the turbine inlet air?

Overcome all three detrimental effects of increase in inlet air temperature on the CT performance:

- 1. Decrease in power generation capacity
- 2. Increase in Heat Rate
- 3. Decrease in enthalpy of the CT exhaust gases



## **TIC Provides Two Types of Benefits**

#### Environmental

➤ Economic



## **TIC Environmental Benefits**

**Reduced\* Emissions of GHG and pollutants** 

Displaced/eliminated operation\* of less efficient and higher emission power plants

Increased efficiency of fuel utilization

Reduced\* Need for Siting New Generation Capacity

\* Equivalent to the capacity enhancement of CT-based power plants (Combined-Cycle and Simple-Cycle)



## **TIC Economic Benefits**

 Generates more electric energy and revenue during hot weather when power demand and electric energy value are high

 Reduces capital cost per unit of the increased generation capacity compared to new uncooled power plants

 Reduces cost of electric energy generation compared to the less energy efficiency "peakers"



# **Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC)**



 Cooling the inlet air to the compressor that supplies the high-pressure compressed air to the combustor of a combustion turbine



#### **Disadvantages of TIC**

- Permanent higher CT inlet pressure drop
- Magnitude of inlet pressure drop varies with the cooling technology:
  - 0.1 to 1.0 WC
- Increased inlet pressure drop results in small drop in CT output capacity even when inlet cooling is not being used: (~0.025 to 0.25% of the CT Output)
- Additional maintenance cost of the cooling equipment



- Evaporative Systems
- Chiller Systems
- LNG Vaporization System
- Hybrid Systems



**Evaporative Systems** 

Direct Evaporative Cooling
Indirect Evaporative Cooling



# TIC Technologies Direct Evaporative Cooling

Cooling is produced by evaporation of the water added to the inlet air

Most used TIC technology option

 Its limitation: Cannot cool the air to below the ambient wet-bulb temperature and therefore, its effectiveness decreases as the ambient relative humidity goes up

 Generation capacity of the CT varies with the ambient temperatures



#### **Direct Evaporative Cooling**



Source: GE Power Systems



## **Direct Evaporative Systems**

- Wetted Media
- Fogging



#### Wetted Media Systems



Avalon Consulting, Onc. Natural Gas & Fromer Technology Services

Source: Munters Corporation

#### **Fogging Systems**





Indirect Evaporative Cooling

Cools the air to even below the wet-bulb temperature

 A couple of technology options are at various stages of development

Not yet commercially proven



#### **Chiller Systems**

- Cool the air by exchanging heat through a cold fluid produced by a chiller
- Can cool the inlet air to any desired temperature to as low as 42F\*
- Can maintain constant CT output irrespective of the ambient temperatures

\* Any lower temperature may result in ice formation downstream of the compressor bell-mouth in which up 10F temperature drop may occur.



#### **Chiller System**



Figure Correction: There should be a stream for steam leaving the HRSG for use in steam turbine or other heat applications

Source: Punwani, D.V. Energy-Tech, June 2004



# TIC Technologies Chiller Systems

 Many types of chillers are applicable and commercially used:

MECHANICAL: MECHANICAL: Electric-, Steam- -Turbine or Engine-Driven

ABSORPTION: Aqua-Ammonia or Lithium Bromide-Water

With or without thermal energy storage (TES)



**Chiller Systems** 

Indirect Heat Exchange

- Heat exchange between the chilled fluid and the inlet air is through a cooling coil

**Direct Heat Exchange** 

- Heat exchange between the chilled water and the inlet air is by direct contact between the two streams



#### **Direct Heat Exchange With Chilled Water**

- Uses chilled water over wetted media, instead of ambient temperature water used for evaporative cooling
- Allows the flexibility of using water without or with chilling
- Removes particulate and dissolved gases from the inlet air just as in direct evaporative systems
- Use substantially more wetted media and thus, higher pressure drop than that for wetted-media evaporative cooling
- One commercial plant (Three GE Frame 6B) in Australia has been using it since 1998



Chillers with Thermal Energy Storage (TES)

 Increase power output capacity and revenues during on-peak periods



Desirable if TIC is needed only during

a small number of hours per day

 Incorporate tank (s) that store chilled water or ice which is produced chillers or refrigeration systems during off-peak period

 TES can reduce total TIC system capital cost by reducing the chiller capacity required to achieve the same instantaneous on-peak cooling demand

• Disadvantage: Need bigger site footprint



**LNG Vaporization Systems** 

- Useful when power plant is located near a liquefied natural gas (LNG) import facility
- Heat needed for the vaporization of LNG can be derived from inlet air which gets cooled in the process
- Commercially used in a few power plants



## Hybrid Systems

Indirect Heat Exchange Chilling (Stage 1 cooling) followed by Evaporative Cooling (Stage 2 Cooling)

- Cooling load is shared by the chiller and the evaporative cooling
- Advantage: Reduced electric parasitic load of chillers
- Disadvantage: Higher inlet air-side pressure drop and higher installed cost than that for the individual cooling options
- At least one commercial plant operating in the U.S.



#### Effect of TIC Technology on Net Capacity Enhancement



For a nominal 500 MW Combined-Cycle System



#### Effect of TIC Technology on Incremental Capital Cost for Capacity Enhancement (317 MW Cogeneration Plant; 95F BD & 80F WB)



Source: Punwani et al ASHRAE Winter Meeting, January 2001

\*Absorption chiller cost also includes the cost of the heat recovery equipment



TIC Could also be Used in Combination with Other Power Augmentation Technologies

Such as:

- Wet Compression
- STIG
- Duct-firing



## Preferred Dispatch Order for a Combined-Cycle System with TIC

#### FIGURE 3 PREFERRED DISPATCH ORDER FOR A TYPICAL COMBINED-CYCLE SYSTEM



Source: Punwani, D.V. and Hurlbert, C.M., *Power Engineering*, Feb. 2006



## Conclusions

- TIC Reduces the Carbon Footprint for Power Generation for the Power Grid
- TIC Reduces the Fuel Cost of Power Generation for the Power Grid
- TIC Requires Less Investment for Increasing the Generation Capacity
- Therefore, TIC is Good for the Environment, Ratepayers and Plant Owners



## Regulatory Changes Deserving Consideration

- Require retrofitting of TIC before permitting construction of new plants
- Exempt TIC from environmental re-permitting
- Calculate capacity payments for plant owners on the basis of systems incorporating TIC\*
- Allow Tradable Carbon Credits for TIC

\* Consistent with the PJM affidavit made to the FERC in August 2005: <u>http://pjm.com/documents/ferc/documents/2005/20050831-er05-\_\_\_\_part-5.pdf</u> PJM: PJM Interconnection, LLC

FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission



## **Any Questions?**



## **Speaker's Contact Information**

Dharam V. Punwani President Avalon Consulting, Inc. Voice: 630-983-0883 E-mail: dpunwani@avalonconsulting.com

