Turbine Inlet Air Chilling
The Power Enhancement that Boosts Output, Efficiency & Operational Flexibility

- +35% Up to 35% Output Gains
- Improved Heat Rate
- Reduced Emissions
- Improved Power Plant Life Cycle Cost
- $ Average ROI – 2-5 years
- Add Thermal Energy Storage for even more operational flexibility, and improved financial performance

Learn more at www.stellar-energy.net
Achieve 95% cooling efficiency and increase turbine output by as much as 20%

Munters evaporative cooling systems offer:

- Increased turbine output
- Improved thermal efficiency
- Quick system payoff
- Low cost/low maintenance
- Standard stainless steel construction
- Extended filter life
- Greater NOx emission reduction
- Sound attenuation


An Introduction to Turbine Inlet Cooling

Dharam V. Panwani

What is TIC?

TIC is cooling of the air before it enters the compressor that supplies high-pressure air to the combustion chamber from which hot air at high pressure enters the combustion turbine. TIC is also called by many other names, including combustion turbine inlet air cooling (CTIAC), turbine inlet air cooling (TIC), combustion turbine air cooling (CTAC), and gas turbine inlet air cooling (GTIAC).

Why Cool Turbine Inlet Air?

The primary reason TIC is used is to enhance the power output of combustion turbines (CTs) when ambient air temperature is above 59°F. The rated capacities of all CTs are based on the standard ambient conditions of 59°F, 14.7 psia at sea level (which is assumed to be the reference pressure for power output). As ambient temperature increases, the power output of a CT decreases because the air density decreases with increased ambient temperature. This results in decreased power output at uncooled CTs, as shown in Figure 1. It shows the effect of inlet air temperature on power output for two types of CTs: aeroderivative and industrial frame. The data in Figure 1 is typical for the two turbine types for comparison purposes. The actual characteristics of each CT could be different and depend on its actual design. The data in Figure 1 suggests that for a typical aeroderivative CT, as inlet air temperature increases from 59°F to 100°F, the power output decreases to 73 percent of its rated capacity. A CT cooler that could prevent air temperature increases is described in the next section. It shows that for an aeroderivative, CT increase in inlet air temperature from 59°F to 100°F increases heat rate (and thus, decreases efficiency) by 2 percent (from 100 percent to 104 percent at 100°F). The second benefit of TIC is that it also prevents decrease in fuel efficiency of the CT because its efficiency decreases with increase in ambient temperature above 59°F. Figure 2 shows the effect of inlet air temperature on heat rate. The data in Figure 2 shows that for an aeroderivative CT, as inlet air temperature increases, the heat rate increases by 2 percent (from 100 percent to 104 percent at 100°F). The primary reason many power plants using CT cool the inlet air is to prevent loss of power output or even increase power output above the rated capacity when the ambient temperature is above 59°F.

What are the Benefits of TIC?

The primary benefit of TIC is that it allows the plant owners to prevent loss of CT output, compared to the rated capacity, when ambient temperature rises above 59°F or the plant is located in a warm/hot climate region. As discussed in the earlier section, TIC can even allow plant owners to increase the CT output above the rated capacity by cooling the inlet air to below 59°F. Even though the volume rate of air needed to cool the CT inlets to below 59°F is higher than the volume rate of air needed to cool the CT inlets to 59°F, the cost of cooling the air to below 59°F is less than the capital cost for increasing CT output above the rated capacity. The data in Figure 1 shows that for a typical aeroderivative CT, as inlet air temperature increases, the power output decreases to about 73 percent of its rated capacity. This could achieve significant increase in plant capacity. This could lead to power producers losing opportunity to sell more power just when the increase in ambient temperature increases power demand for operating air conditioners. By cooling the inlet air from 100°F to 59°F, we could prevent the loss of 27 percent of the rated generation capacity. In fact, if we cool the inlet air to about 42°F, we could enhance the power generation capacity of the CT to 110 percent of the rated capacity. Therefore, if we cool the inlet air from 100°F to 42°F, we could increase power output of an aeroderivative CT from 73 percent to 110 percent of the rated capacity or boost the output capacity by about 50 percent of the capacity at 100°F. The primary reason many power plants using CT cool the inlet air is to prevent loss of power output or even increase power output above the rated capacity when the ambient temperature is above 59°F.

How Does TIC Help Increase CT Output?

Power output of a CT is directly proportional to and limited by the mass flow rate of air available to it from the air compressor that provides high-pressure air to the combustion chamber of the CT system. An air compressor has a fixed capacity for handling a volumetric flow rate of air. Even though the volumetric capacity of a compressor is fixed, the mass flow rate of air it delivers to the CT changes with changes in ambient air temperature. This mass flow rate of air decreases with increase in ambient temperature because the air density decreases as ambient air temperature increases. Therefore, the power output of a combustion turbine decreases below its rated capacity at the ISO conditions (59°F, 14.7 psia at sea level) with increases in ambient temperature above 59°F. TIC allows increase in air density by lowering the temperature and thus, helps increase mass flow rate of air to the CT and results in increased output of the CT.

What are the Available Technology Options for TIC?

Many technologies are commercially available for TIC. These technologies can be divided into the following major categories:

1. Evaporative: wetted media, fogging, and wet compression/overspray
2. Refrigeration: mechanical and absorption chillers without or with thermal energy storage (TES)
3. Special Application Technologies i.e., re-vaporization of liquefied natural gas (LNG)
4. Hybrid Systems: a mix of mechanical and absorption chillers

All technologies listed above have advantages and disadvantages. Many published articles are available on these technologies. A number of these publications are listed in the literature section of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association website (www.turbineinletcooling.org).

What are the Economics of TIC?

Even though it is difficult to generalize the overall economics of TIC, because they depend on many factors, it generally requires less investment ($/kW) than installing additional uncooled CT to achieve similar increase in plant capacity. It is not unusual for TIC to increase CT output capacity at less than half the capital cost of installing an additional uncooled CT. The various factors that affect the overall economics of TIC include the following:

1. Cooling Technology
2. Weather data for the geographic location of the CT plant
3. CT plant capacity and operational mode (Peak, Cogeneration, or Combined Cycle)
4. Market value of electric energy and power demand profile
5. Price of fuel (Natural gas or fuel oil)
6. Market value of cogenerated steam and steam demand profile
7. Cost of capital
8. Special Application Technologies i.e., re-vaporization of liquefied natural gas (LNG)
9. Hybrid Systems: a mix of mechanical and absorption chillers

The overall economics of TIC include the following factors: market value of electric energy and power demand profile, cost of fuel (natural gas or fuel oil), market value of cogenerated steam and steam demand profile, special application technologies i.e., re-vaporization of liquefied natural gas (LNG), hybrid systems: a mix of mechanical and absorption chillers, and cost of capital. Many CT plants across the U.S. and around the world are using various TIC technologies that improve their performance and economics. A database of some of these installations is available in the Experience Database section of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association website.

Who is using TIC?

Many CT plants across the U.S. and around the world are using various TIC technologies that improve their performance and economics. A database of some of these installations is available in the Experience Database section of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association website. The mission of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA), a not-for-profit organization, is to promote the development and exchange of knowledge related to TIC and to become the premier one-stop source of information on TIC. For more information about TICA, visit its website at www.turbineinletcooling.org.
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W e all know that the U.S. electric power industry is one of the best in the world. However, it’s far from perfect in that there are several structural problems which must be addressed and fixed as soon as possible. From the perspective of the electric power consumers and the environment, I believe the U.S. power industry problems include the following:

- Increasing grid instability
- High electricity cost during peak periods
- High environmental emissions during hot weather

The lack of grid reliability generally occurs during hot weather when we need electric power the most. Some of the reliability problems stem from the aging grid infrastructure and some from the lack of sufficient supply to meet demand from the grid-connected loads.

As we all know, the electric energy and demand charges are high during peak periods. Sometimes these charges are as much as five times those during off-peak periods, and it is not because the power producers are gouging the consumers. The on-peak prices are influenced by two major factors: demand and supply, and the types of power plants brought on stream to meet peak loads. Many of these peak-plant producers have low energy efficiencies that increase the cost of producing electric power. In addition, when the weather becomes hot, the energy efficiency decreases and the cost of producing electric power increases for all power plants that use combustion turbines.

Environmental emissions increase during hot weather for two reasons: decreased energy efficiency of all combustion turbines, and startup of older, dirtier, and inefficient peaking plants. Operating these power plants at low efficiencies not only increases environmental emissions, they also consume more fuel (natural gas, fuel oil, or diesel) per unit of electric energy produced. Over the last 20 years, most of the new power plants brought on stream in the U.S. use natural gas (Figure 1), and electric generation represents the highest demand sector for growth for natural gas (Figure 2). Natural gas demand for power generation increases during summer (Figure 3). We all have seen the prices of natural gas peak much higher than ever before, while the average price of natural gas also seems to settle at a higher level than before (Figure 4). U.S. production of natural gas is not sufficient to meet demand; we now must import LNG to supplement it. Whether the combustion turbines use natural gas or oil, it is imperative that we not continue to operate our power systems at low efficiencies, particularly when much of the fuel source originates in an unstable and hostile region of the world.

**Solutions**

We need a multi-faceted approach for solving the problems within the power industry. This approach should include the following components:

- Modernizing grid infrastructure
- Demand side management
- Distributed generation
- Power augmentation

We cannot afford to continue with the antiquated grid infrastructure — it must be modernized. Without it, all other approaches for improving grid reliability will never be adequate. Modernizing grid infrastructure is going to require a hefty budget from industry and government. It is not a near-term solution; it will require significant time. Nonetheless, improving the grid infrastructure alone will not improve grid reliability.

We should bring demand side management back to the forefront. We should explore with more vigor the ways to shift power usage from day to night. It is time for the concept of thermal energy storage (TES) to garner some serious consideration from the electricity demand side. TES allows electricity users to shift power demand from day to night; yet inexplicably it is not a serious part of the demand side management dialog today. Of course, we should continue to develop more energy-efficient light bulbs and refrigeration systems, etc. However, demand side management alone does not completely bridge the gap between power supply and demand.

Distributed generation can reduce load on the grid and therefore help improve grid reliability. The U.S. Combined Heat and Power Association (USCHPA) is making commendable efforts for disseminating information about the benefits of distributed generation including combined heat and power. The USCHPA is a private, non-profit association, formed in 1999 to promote the merits of CHP and to achieve public policy support. It is attempting to create a regulatory, institutional, and market environment that fosters the use of clean, efficient CHP as a major source of electric power and thermal energy in the U.S. The goal of the USCHPA is to increase CHP generation capacity in the U.S. from 46 GW in 1998, to 92 GW by 2010. The traditional capital cost required for CHP systems is usually higher than that for centralized generation. New packaged CHP systems are under development with industry and government funding; these systems are energy efficient, will help improve grid reliability, and will conserve fuel resources.

Of these four solutions, Power Augmentation could have the biggest potential impact in the immediate term. Power augmentation is an approach that allows combustion turbine (CT) power plants to continue to produce their rated power capacities—or more than the rated capacities—especially during hot weather conditions. Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC) has been successfully used at many power plants across the world for power augmentation. While the TIC technologies in use today are at least 20 years old, power industry executives and planners remain alarmingly uneducated on this proven option. TIC increases energy efficiencies of CT power plants, is a well-proven technology, and serves as a low-cost option compared to adding peaking plants. In addition, it can be easily retrofitted to existing power plants or incorporated into the design of new plants.

Overall, TIC helps maximize the value of existing and new power generation assets, and is responsible to all three power industry problems: grid reliability, cost of producing electricity, and environmental emissions. Some believe that as a direct result of the proliferation of CT based power generation, TIC is the most important breakthrough in the last 25 years in the power generation industry. According to a research study by independent consultant Frost and Sullivan, TIC should be at least a $1 billion per year opportunity based on its value proposition. The Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA) promotes the development and exchange of knowledge related to TIC for enhancing power generation worldwide.

Don’t you think we should give at least equal weight to maximizing the
potential of our existing power plants as we do to building new ones? Isn’t it sensi-
tible to make what we have more energy efficient? It does make sense, especially
when TIC technology costs, in most cases, a fraction of a new plant, is more envi-
ronmentally friendly, and has virtually no negative impact (and some positive) on the
existing transmission system.

Needs

Even though TIC provides a simple, proven solution, it is not yet on the radar screen. The country needs leaders with authority in industry and government to rise and start looking into the electric power problems and the potential benefits offered by TIC.

Remember when Congress passed the Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act (PURPA) in the late 1970s? It did so with a strong belief—and rightfully so in hindsight—that consumers would benefit greatly from competition. A savvy power industry historian could argue that PURPA actually led to the merchant plant debacle and therefore was a failure. The counter argument is yes, PURPA blazed that now infamous trail, but utilities today are much more competitive-minded than they were in the mid-1960s and 1970s, and as such consumers are better off. But the real ques-
tion is, if PURPA, and the threat of a com-
petitive deregulated market, had not been-created, would utilities have changed their mindset by themselves? The answer to this question is a resounding, “No!”

History illustrates that the government has a role in helping the energy industry make necessary structural changes to look out for the good of the consumer and the environment. Now that we may be slipping back toward the utility command-and-con-
trol mindset of old, away from the IPP model, the time has come for another struc-
tural shift. It is time for the next PURPA legislation. It is time for Congress to step up and take a lead on behalf of the ratepay-
ers in the electric power industry.

If we are to maintain our competitive advantage as a country, then we must keep our energy costs as low as possible. We must protect the environment to the great-
est extent possible, and quickly escalate the issue to higher levels within the industry and government where real change can be created. Why is power augmentation not a topic of big discussion inside the seem-
ingly ever-stalled Energy Bill? Our legis-
lators, aside from thinking about long-term solutions, should also look into sound ideas with immediate impact in securing our future. Power augmentation via TIC is a low hanging fruit with a positive economic and environmental impact—something both sides of the House should be able to agree upon. History shows that this type of change only happens when Congress steps in and makes change mandatory. It is time for power augmentation to make its way to the forefront.

As an industry, we need to strongly encourage Congress to break free from the lobbying quoitante that has become the Energy Bill, and get serious about positive solutions for these problems that benefit both the ratepayers and the environment. However, our industry today is suffering from something much more serious, some-
thing with no technical solution. We are in the midst of a serious industry wide “lead-
ership vacuum.” This vacuum has placed us in a state of collective inaction on any matter of importance. I feel uncomfortable saying this, but I do not think our indus-
try can do this alone— I think we must have interference from Congress, and the Energy Bill would be a great vehicle for this “intervention.”

It is time for leaders to emerge in the U.S. power industry!

Figures 1-4 were derived from the fol-
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Evaporative Cooling Technologies for Turbine Inlet Cooling
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The inaugural issue of this column was published in the December 2003 issue of the Energy-Tech. It provided an introduction to the turbine inlet cooling (TIC) and addressed a number of frequently asked questions: What is it? Why use it? What are its benefits? How does it work? What are the technology options? What are its economics? Who is using it? It also discussed plans for the future issues of this column and Energy-Tech’s plans for a cover story and a special supplement for TIC in cooperation with the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA).

As per the plans for this column, the current column discusses three evaporative technologies commercially used for TIC and their economics: wetted media, fogging, and wet compression/over spraying. These technologies differ from one another in the method and/or the quantity of water added to the inlet air entering the compressor of a CT system. All technologies have their pros and cons. As discussed in the inaugural issue of this column, the selection of an optimum technology for a specific power plant depends on a number of factors, including plant’s geographical location, CT characteristics, plant operating mode, market value of electric energy, and fuel cost. Many published articles are available on these technologies. A number of these publications are listed in the Library section of the Website (www.turbineinletcooling.org) of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association.

Technologies

The primary advantages of evaporative cooling technologies are their low capital and operating costs. The primary disadvantage of these technologies is that the extent of cooling achieved is limited to the wet-bulb temperature (WB) and, therefore, their outputs vary depending upon the weather. These technologies are more efficient in hot and dry weather and less efficient in hot and humid weather conditions. These technologies also consume a large amount of water and may require water treatment/conditioning depending upon manufacturers’ specifications and the quality of available water.

Wetted media is the first technology used for TIC. In this technology, water is added to the inlet air by exposing it to a film of water in one of the many types of wetted media. Honeycomb-like medium is one of the most commonly employed media. The water used for wetting the medium may require treatment, depending upon the quality of water and the medium manufacturer’s specifications. Wetted media can cool the inlet to within 85% to 95% of the difference between ambient dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperature. On an overall basis, this is the most widely used technology.

In fogging, water is added to the inlet air by spraying very fine droplets of water. Fogging systems can be designed to produce droplets of variable sizes, depending on the desired evaporation time and ambient conditions. The water droplet size is generally less than 40 microns and on an average it is about 20 microns. The water used for fogging typically requires demineralization. Fogging systems can cool the inlet air to within 95% to 98% of the difference between ambient dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperature and is therefore, slightly more effective than the wetted media. Its capital cost is very comparable to that for the wetted media and it is the second most applied technology for TIC.

In wet compression/over spraying, water is added to the inlet air as a fog just as it is done for fogging. However, the amount of fog added is a lot more than can be evaporated under the conditions of the ambient air. The inlet air stream carries the excess fog into the compressor section of the CT where it further evaporates, cools the compressed air and creates extra mass for boosting the CT output beyond that possible with the evaporative cooling technologies. The amount of excess fog carried into the compressor depends on where the fog is added in the CT system.

Economics

For the purpose of discussing the economics of wetted media and fogging, we will use examples of the following two types of cogeneration plants located in Los Angeles, CA:

1. 83.5 MW Industrial/Frame CT
2. 42.0 MW Aeroderivative CT

When the ambient temperature in Los Angeles is 87°F dry-bulb and coincident wet-bulb temperature is 64°F, the output of the above 83.5 MW and 42 MW (capacities at ISO conditions of 59°F and 14.7 psia) cogeneration plants drops to about 75.3 MW and 32.1 MW, respectively as discussed in Figures 2 and 3 of the inaugural issue of this column in December 2003. Compared to the rated capacities of the two plants, the reduced outputs represent loss of capacity by about 10% and 24%, respectively. Assuming 90% and 98% approaches to the difference between the dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperatures for the wetted media (evaporative cooling) and fogging technologies, these two technologies can cool the inlet air to 66.3°F and 64.3°F respectively. Comparisons of the two evaporative cooling technologies with the uncooled CT in terms of total power plant output and incremental power are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The results in Figure 1 show that wetted media and fogging can enhance the capacities of the larger uncooled system from 75.3 MW to 81.3 MW and 81.9 MW respectively. Therefore, these TIC technologies can restore most of the 10% lost capacity to within 3% of the rated capacity.

The results for the aeroderivative CT, shown in Figure 2, are similar but more pronounced than those for the industrial/frame CT. The capacity of this uncooled system goes up from 41.4 MW to 39.9 MW and 40.4 MW by the evaporative cooling and fogging technologies, respectively and thus, restores most of the 24% lost capacity to within 4% of the rated capacity.

The impacts of the two TIC technologies on the installed cost for the total plant capacity for the two types of CTs are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The costs in these figures are based on the following installed costs:

Un-cooled CT plant:

- $750,000/MW at ISO conditions
- Wetted Media:
  - $19,000/MW CT capacity at ISO

Fogging:

- $19,000/MW CT capacity at ISO

The results in the above Figures show that the total plant capital cost, expressed as $/MW, is lower for the plants with TIC than those for the uncooled systems.

The capital costs for the incremental power output capacities made available by TIC are shown in Figures 5 and 6. These figures show the capital costs for the additional power output capacity available from the existing CT by TIC are significantly lower than the option of installing an additional uncooled CT. This is one of the most important benefit of TIC.

As stated earlier, all of the above discussion relates to a situation when the ambient dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperatures are 87°F and 64°F, respectively. However, this information is not sufficient to decide whether TIC is economically attractive and if so which cooling technology will be economically most attractive. Such estimates require calculations using hourly weather data for 8,760 hours of the year and also require information for cost of fuel, power demand profile and market value of power produced (which may vary with the time of day).

In addition, as stated earlier, the results of the various TIC technologies for these plants located in Houston, TX and Las Vegas, NV would be different from those discussed for Los Angeles, CA.

Figure 1. Effect of Cooling Technology on Net Power Output of the Industrial CT

Figure 2. Effect of Cooling Technology on Net Power Output of the Aeroderivative CT

Figure 3. Effect of Cooling Technology on Total Plant Capital Cost ($) for the Industrial CT

Figure 4. Effect of Cooling Technology on Total Plant Capital Cost ($) for the Aeroderivative CT

Figure 5. Effect of Cooling Technology on Incremental Plant Capital Cost for the Industrial CT

Figure 6. Effect of Cooling Technology on Incremental Plant Capital Cost for the Aeroderivative CT
Chiller Technologies for Turbine Inlet Cooling

Dharam V. Punwani

Technologies

A TIC system that uses a chiller draws the turbine inlet air across cooling coil in which either chilled water or a refrigerant is circulated as shown in Figure 1. Airside pressure drop across the cooling coil could be 1 to 2 inches of water column. The chilled water could be supplied directly from a chiller or from a thermal energy storage (TES) tank that stores ice or chilled water. Chiller capacities are rated in terms of refrigeration ton (RT). One RT capacity chiller is capable of removing heat at the rate of 12,000 Btu/hr. The two most common types of chiller technologies used for TIC are mechanical chillers and absorption chillers.

Mechanical Chillers, also known as vapor compression chillers, are the most common chillers used for TIC. These chillers are similar to those commonly used in heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems for cooling air in large commercial buildings.

A mechanical chiller can cool the turbine inlet air to any temperature down to 42°F. Even though the chiller could cool the inlet to temperatures even lower than 42°F, the lower temperatures are generally not desirable to avoid the potential of forming ice crystals in the bell mouth of the compressor. The temperature drop across the bell mouth is estimated to be about 10°F and therefore, the turbine inlet air is not recommended to be cooled below 42°F. A mechanical chiller could be driven by an electric motor, natural gas engine, or steam turbine.

When a mechanical chiller is operated by an electric motor, it requires electric power in the range of 0.7 to 0.8 kW/RT, depending on the chiller design. Most of this power requirement is for operating the compressor (0.6 to 0.65 kW/RT). Mechanical chillers do produce net power enhancement for the power plant by TIC. Electric motor-driven chillers represent the least capital cost option for TIC systems using chillers.

If a natural gas engine operates a mechanical chiller, total electric power requirement for the chiller system reduces to only about 0.18 kW/RT. Therefore, in a TIC system, a natural gas engine-driven mechanical chiller allows achievement of higher net electric power enhancement than that possible with an electric motor-driven chiller. A steam turbine-driven chiller requires about 10 lbs per hour of steam (at 120 psig) per RT of cooling. Steam turbine-driven systems are economical when steam is easily and economically available and it is desirable to maximize the electric power output of the power plant instead of using a part of it for operating chillers.

Absorption chillers do not need a mechanical compressor for compressing the refrigerant and that the refrigerant they use is either water or ammonia, instead of a hydrocarbon fluid used in mechanical chillers. The primary source of energy for absorption chillers could be thermal, instead of electrical. The source of thermal energy for absorption chillers could be hot water, steam, or a fuel, such as natural gas. These chillers require very low electrical energy to operate only a few pumps.

Absorption chillers could be single-effect or double-effect chillers. The double-effect chillers are more energy efficient but require higher temperature and more capital cost. Absorption chillers could incorporate a mixture of lithium bromide and water, or ammonia and water. Absorption chillers that use lithium bromide-water mixture are significantly more commonly used than the ammonia water mixture chillers. A single-effect absorption chiller (lithium bromide and water mixture) can use hot water at least 180°F or 18 lbs/h of steam at 15 psig per RT. A double-effect absorption chiller (lithium bromide and water mixture) requires about 10 lbs of steam at about 115 psig per RT. These absorption chillers are generally used to cool the turbine inlet air to about 50°F. Absorption chillers using ammonia-water mixture can cool the inlet air to 42°F, just as the mechanical chillers.

Advantages & Limitations

The power gains realized by evaporative cooling technologies depend on the ambient temperature and humidity conditions. Evaporative technologies produce their largest power gains when ambient conditions are dry and hot, and less gains when the conditions are very humid. In addition, the wet-bulb temperature and the amount of water that can be injected for the compressor inter-stage cooling limit the maximum power gain achievable by these technologies. These technologies also consume lots of water that may require extensive water treatment/conditioning depending upon manufacturers’ specifications and the quality of available water. The primary advantages of these technologies are the low capital and operating costs.

The primary advantage of using chiller technologies is that they allow cooling of the turbine inlet air to much lower temperatures and thus, achieve much higher power capacity enhancements than those possible with evaporative cooling technologies. Unlike evaporative cooling technologies, chillers allow cooling of inlet air to any desired temperature, within the limitations of the selected chiller, almost independent of ambient temperature and humidity conditions. The chiller technologies also do not require any water treatment and consume very little or no water.

The primary disadvantage of the chiller technologies is that their capital cost. The capital costs of chiller technologies are higher than those for evaporative cooling technologies. Since the chiller systems also require the inlet air to be drawn through cooling coils, these systems incur more pressure drop (generally a few inches of water column) and the airside compared to evaporative cooling technologies. However, in spite of the high capital cost and additional pressure drop, these technologies cost much less than the combustion turbines without any cooling for providing additional power capacity in hot weather.

Economics

The economics of chiller technologies for TIC uses an example of a cogeneration power plant located in Houston, Texas, and having a rated capacity of 316.8 MW (3 industrial frame CTCs of 105.6 MW each). When the ambient temperature in Houston is 95°F dry-bulb and coincident wet-bulb temperature is 80°F, the output of the cogeneration plant, without any cooling, drops to about 273 MW. Compared to the rated capacity, the plant output drops by about 44 MW or a capacity loss of about 14 percent.

Figure 2 shows the effect of chiller technology on total plant capacity when the inlet air is cooled from 95°F ambient dry-bulb temperature to 50°F. Total chiller capacity required for cooling the turbine inlet air for the plant is about 18,400 RT. The results in Figure 2 show that the single-effect lithium bromide-water absorption chiller (hereafter referred to as “the absorption chiller”) increases the plant capacity to about 321 MW from its capacity of 273 MW without any cooling. Because of the higher parasitic power needs, the electric chiller can raise the capacity to only about 312 MW.

Figure 3 shows the effect of chiller technology on net power enhancement for the same set of conditions as for Figure 2. It shows that the absorption chiller provides the maximum net power capacity increase of about 48 MW above that at 95°F ambient condition. The electric

Figure 1: Schematic Flow Diagram for a Combustion Turbine Plant Using Chillers for TIC

Figure 2: Effect of Chiller Technology on Total Plan Capacity

Figure 3: Effect of Chiller Technology on Net Power Output
Chiller provides a capacity enhancement of about 39 MW. The results in figures 2 and 3 are based on total parasitic loads of 0.81 kW/RT and 0.28 kW/RT for the electric and absorption chillers, respectively, and cooling coil pressure drop of 1.5 inches of water column.

Figure 4 shows the effect of cooling technology on total plant (power plant plus TIC system) cost per MW of the net capacity of the plant for similar conditions as those for Figure 2. The costs in Figure 4 are based on the following installed costs for the power plant and TIC systems: $750,000/MW for the cogeneration plant at the rated capacity, $834/RT for the complete (with cooling coil, chillers, pumps, demisters, and cooling towers) TIC system with electric chillers, and $1,240/RT for the complete TIC system with the absorption chiller. Please note that usually the costs of installing TIC systems with chillers are not as high as those in this example. The reason for the higher costs in this example is because for retrofitting the plant that had limited area for installing cooling coil, the chilled water had to be produced at 40°F, instead of the usual 44°F. Therefore, the chiller costs include the effect of chiller de-rating to produce water at 40°F. In addition, the capital cost differential between the absorption and electric chiller systems is also not as high as in this example. The absorption chiller system cost is high here because it also includes the cost of the heat recovery equipment required for producing hot water (needed for operating the absorption chiller) from the exhaust of the heat recovery steam generator (HRSG). On these bases, the total cost of the cogeneration plant without TIC is $237.6 million. When the ambient temperature rises to 95°F and its total capacity decreases to 273 MW, the effective capital cost of the cogeneration plant rises from $750,000/MW to about $870,000/MW for the same total investment of $237.6 million. The results in Figure 4 show that the total plant cost is the lowest for the plant with the TIC system using the absorption chiller.

Figure 5 shows the effect of chiller technology on the total cost for the incremental power capacity enhancement above the capacity of the plant at 95°F for the same set of conditions discussed above for Figure 4. It shows that both TIC systems provide incremental power at nearly half the cost of an uncooled system and that the TIC system using electric chillers provides the incremental capacity at the lowest cost of $398,000/MW. The estimates in Figures 2 through 5 are only “snapshot” results when the ambient dry-bulb temperature is 95°F and the turbine inlet air is cooled to 50°F for the plant’s location in Houston. On the basis of the information in these figures, it is premature to draw any conclusion about the optimum technology for this plant. Further analyses are necessary, using hourly weather data for all 8,760 hours of the year for estimating the net annual production of electrical energy (MWh) and steam, and their respective market values and annual operating and maintenance costs.

**Summary**

TIC systems using chillers allow combustion turbine systems to produce rated or even higher than rated power capacity, independent of high ambient temperatures. These systems provide incremental capacity enhancement at almost one-half the per MW capital cost of the uncooled combustion turbine systems.

Dharam V. Punwani is president of Avalon Consulting, Inc., located in the Chicagoland area (Naperville), and has over 35 years of experience in energy technologies. Avalon provides technical and economic analyses related to TIC and cogeneration systems. He was chairman of TICA in 2002 and now serves as its Executive Director.

**COOL YOUR JETS**

Appeared in April & June 2004 Energy-Tech Magazine

**MAXIMIZE POWER PLANT OUTPUT**
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**Figure 4. Effect of Chiller Technology on Total Plant Investment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Cooling</th>
<th>Electric Chiller</th>
<th>Absorption Chiller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$811,616</td>
<td>$810,285</td>
<td>$869,911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5. Effect of Chiller Technology on Capital Cost for Incremental Plant Capacity Enhancement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Cooling</th>
<th>Electric Chiller</th>
<th>Absorption Chiller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$869,911</td>
<td>$398,000</td>
<td>$472,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5. Effect of Chiller Technology on Capital Cost for Incremental Plant Capacity Enhancement**
The TES Concept

A TES-TIC system utilizes all the component elements of a non-TES chiller-based TIC system. However, TES allows for the time-based decoupling of all or some of the chiller plant operation from the usage of cooling at the turbine’s inlet air cooling coils. This is accomplished by operating chillers during off-peak times (when the value of power is relatively low) to freeze ice or to chill a storage tank of water or fluid. Subsequently, during on-peak periods (when the value of power is high) the storage is utilized (melting the ice or reheating the stored water or fluid) to meet peak cooling loads at the turbine inlet air cooling coils.

A dual-benefit is achieved by utilizing TES in this manner:

1. Parasitic loads associated with chiller operation are eliminated or largely reduced during on-peak periods when power is at its highest value. (The chillers operate entirely or primarily during off-peak periods, when the cost or value of power is lower.)

2. The chiller plant can be reduced in capacity and capital cost, often more than compensating for the capital cost of the TES installation.

Advantages & Limitations of TES

All TIC technologies have advantage and limitations. It is always important to understand and evaluate technology options for each application.

The use of TES for TIC maintains the basic attributes and benefits of a non-TES chiller system used for TIC. TES allows cooling of the turbine inlet air to temperatures lower than those possible with evaporative cooling technologies and thus, achieves much higher power capacity enhancement. The TES-chiller system allows cooling of inlet air to any desired temperature within the limitations of the selected chiller(s). The TES-chiller system does not require elaborate water treatment and consumes very little water compared to evaporative cooling.

TES systems are most often mated to electric motor-driven chillers; however, TES systems are also frequently applied with steam turbine-driven, engine-driven, and absorption chiller systems, as well as with hybrid systems using a mix of chiller technologies.

Supplementing a chiller system with TES helps to address the non-TES chiller system’s primary drawback, namely a relatively high capital cost compared to evapative cooling systems.

The Key Advantages of TES for TIC

1. Reduced parasitic power losses, on-peak
2. Reduced capacity and cost of chiller plant
3. Lower capital cost per MW of power enhancement, on-peak
4. Maximized net power enhancement, on-peak

The Key Limitations of TES for TIC

1. Space for the TES tank
2. Limited hours per day of maximum power enhancement

Comparing TES Options for TIC

Various TES technology options are available and already in use in TIC applications. There are two families of TES technologies:

1. Latent heat TES, notably ice (i.e., “static” ice TES such as “ice-on-coil,” or “encapsulated ice” and “dynamic” ice TES such as “ice harvesters”)
2. Sensible heat TES including chilled water (CHW) and low temperature fluid (LTF) storage.

Each technology has unique characteristics and therefore inherent advantages and limitations.

As each TES technology has characteristics that range from excellent to poor, a thorough knowledge of those differences (and of the priorities of a particular application) is critical to achieving an optimum match for any specific situation. Beyond the choice of technology, there are many other variables to be considered in applying TES. These variables include such items as: full-shift versus partial-shift systems; daily versus weekly design cycles; operating supply and return temperatures; chiller and chiller driver types; redundant chiller capacity (if any); and siting of the TES equipment.

Table 1: Generalized Inherent Characteristics of TES Technologies for TIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Latent Heat (Ice) TES</th>
<th>Sensible Heat TES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static Ice</td>
<td>Dynamic Ice</td>
<td>Chilled Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Static Ice</th>
<th>Dynamic Ice</th>
<th>Chilled Water</th>
<th>LT Fluid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>footprint</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modularity</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy of Scale</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Temp Capability</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Retrofit to chillers</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Discharge Capability</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplicity and Reliability</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Remoteness from chillers</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-use as fire protection</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Advantages of TES for TIC:

1. Reduced parasitic power losses, on-peak
2. Reduced capacity and cost of chiller plant
3. Lower capital cost per MW of power enhancement, on-peak
4. Maximized net power enhancement, on-peak

Key Limitations of TES for TIC:

1. Space for the TES tank
2. Limited hours per day of maximum power enhancement

Butler-Warner Generating Plant - Fayetteville, NC

West County Generating Plant - Palm Beach County, FL

CROM is a leading provider of Thermal Energy Storage Tanks for Commercial, Industrial and Power Generating Applications

Designing and constructing superior liquid storage structures since 1953.
Specific Users of TES-TIC

To date, there has been more than 15 years of experience with TES-TIC installations. Such applications span a wide range of application types:

- TIC applied to new CTS, as well as retrofits to existing CTS.
- Applications for Simple-Cycle and Combined-Cycle CT plants.
- CT plant capacities ranging from 1 MW to 750 MW.
- Installations in North America, Europe, and Asia, including a wide range of climates, both hot-arid and hot-humid environments, as well as locales with year-round hot weather and those with only brief seasonal hot weather; and
- Various TES technology types, including Ice TES, Stratified Chilled Water (CHW) TES, and Stratified Low Temperature Fluid (LT) TES.

The types of power plants using TES-TIC systems are stand-alone power generation (utilities and IPPs). However, TES-TIC is also fairly common at District Energy systems (both at urban thermal utility systems and at university campus energy systems) where central cooling plants and onsite power generation is employed.

Power plants across the U.S. and around the globe are employing various TES-TIC technologies, with the early documented system in-service in the late 1980s. Detailed data from some of these installations is available within the Experience Database Section of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association website, www.turbineinletcooling.org.

Case Study of TES Systems

Details for a representative recent example is provided in the following Electric Utility Case Study.

Plant & TES Data

- Middle East (hot-arid climate)
- Existing 750 MW (ISO) Simple-Cycle plant
- 10 x GE 7EA CTs
- Added TIC, from 122°F to 54.5°F air temp
- Approx. 30,000-ton TIC load, 6 hours per day
- Added approach, 11,000-trm elect-driven mechanical chiller plant
- Added 193,000-ton-hours of stratified chilled water TES (full load shift)

John S. Andrepont is the founder and president of The Cool Solutions Company, List, IJ., and has 30 years of experience in energy technologies, including Turbo Plant’s cooling projects and over 100 thermal energy storage installations. Cool Solutions provides consulting services related to TES, TIC, and District Cooling systems. He is the current chairman and director of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA).

Hybrid Systems & LNG for Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC)

Hybrid Systems

Depending on the power plant design and its load characteristics, a mix of TIC technologies might provide better economics than one of these technologies alone. Some of the hybrid systems that have been successfully used include mechanical and absorption chillers, mechanical chillers and TES, absorption chillers with TES, and mechanical and absorption chillers with TES.

There are some hybrid systems that are technically possible, but economically undesirable. Examples of such systems include:

- Initial cooling by wetted media or fogging followed by further cooling with chillers or TES.
- A fogging system and later on decides to use mechanical chillers or TES. Therefore, the use of TES is an option that should be considered and explored.

Summary

No one technology is universally best for all TIC applications. TES-TIC systems are being increasingly applied, particularly where the value of electric power varies significantly as a function of time-of-day on hot weather days. Of all available TIC technologies, TES-TIC systems provide the maximum hot weather CT power enhancement during on-peak periods. TES-TIC also offers significant reductions in total capital cost and in unit capital cost per MW of on-peak power enhancement, compared to non-TES systems. TES is an option that should be considered and explored when maximized on-peak hot weather performance is desirable.

NOTE: This column was edited from its original format. Read the column in its entirety at www.turbineinletcooling.org, where you will also find: a table outlining generalized characteristics of TES-TIC systems; a table analysis of recent TIC technologies; a table analysis of recent TIC installations; and an additional technical case study on Combined Cooling, Heat & Power.

Using wetted media or fogging after cooling the inlet air by chillers or TES also is not advisable because the air exiting the cooling coils of the chiller plant should be at or near saturation with very little or no scope for further cooling by evaporation. However, if a power plant initially had a fogging system and later on decides to install a chiller system to meet increased demand, the fogging does not have to be removed. This type of hybrid system will provide the plant owner the flexibility to use only the fogging system when it is adequate to meet the power demand and use chillers only when the demand exceeds the power output possible with the fogging system.

The temperature of the exhaust gas from the existing heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) was 340°F. An analysis of the HRSG exhaust gases showed that these gases could be utilized to 260°F without causing condensation. Further analysis showed that cooling these exhaust gases could produce enough hot water to operate a steam turbine, heated single effect (HWSE) absorption chiller with a maximum capacity of 8,300 tons of refrigeration (TR). A detailed analysis of the cooling coil design for the TIC system showed that the optimum temperature of the chilled water should be 38°F in order to fit the cooling coil in the available space for retrofit application. As discussed in an earlier column, we cannot achieve 38°F temperature of chilled water from an absorption chiller. The best it can do is to chill water at 41°F. As the chilled water further cools the chiller would require some derating of its rated capacity (at standard chilled water temperature of 44°F). Therefore, it was decided that we would have to use an electric driven mechanical chiller to cool the chilled water at 41°F, from the HWSE plant capacity to the desired temperature of chilled water at 38°F. The capacity of such a chiller was estimated to be 1,200 TR.

As total chilling capacity required during the peak period is estimated to be 18,400 TR and the two chillers could supply only a total of 9,500 TR, the balance 8,900 TR must be planned to be done from the TES system. Since the total on-peak period is for 10 hours, the TES capacity should be at least a 89,000 ton-hr capacity.

Since the cogeneration plant could sell all the steam it could produce at an attractive price, the option of using steam-heated absorption chillers and steam turbine-driven mechanical chillers were not desirable for this plant. As the power plant owners wanted to market maximum power during the on-peak period, an electrically driven mechanical chiller was not believed to be the preferred option for TIC.

Because the power plant had high power demand only during on-peak periods, it was planned to use TIC only during the on-peak period. Therefore, the use of TES was considered imperative in order to minimize the capacity of the chillers.

LNG-Based TIC

There are several LNG terminals across the U.S. where natural gas is stored as a liquid and LNG is imported from overseas in tankers. The LNG terminals are used as a resource to meet peak demands of natural gas. With the current high prices of natural gas many energy companies are considering and installing additional LNG facilities in the U.S. and elsewhere. The off-loading of LNG from a tanker into a natural gas pipeline and/or for use in a power plant requires the re-vaporization of the natural gas. LNG in its liquid state is at -258°F. Therefore, it can be converted readily into the vapor phase with low-level.
The Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA) brings together parties interested in the benefits of turbine inlet cooling (TIC). The TICA mission is to promote the development and exchange of knowledge related to TIC, for enhancing power generation worldwide, and to be the premier one-stop source of information on TIC.

TICA membership provides benefits to: power plant owners/operators, plant EPCs, turbine OEMs, TIC system & component suppliers, contractors, consultants, and interested individual professionals & associations.

See how TICA can benefit you. Join with some of our current members:

Avalon Consulting • Axflow Turbine Consultants • Baltimore Aircoil Company • Chicago Bridge & Iron • Cool Solutions • FES Systems • Kohlenberger Associates • Marley Cooling Technologies • Munters • Southbay Group • Strategic Energy Services • Trane • Turbine Air Systems • Weir Techs • York International

Visit www.turbineinletcooling.org

For a fraction the $/MW of conventional plants!

Recapture lost hot-weather power output...

FOR A FRACTION THE $/MW OF CONVENTIONAL PLANTS!

The Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC) plant comprises:

- A nominal 57 MW of electric power in a CT Combined Cycle (CTCC)
- 42 MW from the CT
- 15 MW from the steam turbine
- 267 MWt of steam heat
- 16,400 tons of chilled water cooling

The 1997 results exceeded expectations. CT power output was increased by approximately 8 MW (a 23.5 percent increase). And CT heat rate was improved by approximately 5 percent. As an added benefit, condensate make-up is collected from the inlet cooling coils and used for cooling tower make-up in the District Cooling plant. Total project installation costs were $809,000 for the TIC portion and $671,000 for the absorption cooling addition. Simple payback for the project was slightly over three years. Total unit capital cost was $165 per kW of incremental power output, and well below the installed unit cost of $90-100 per kW. Of course the opposite project was also a major project.

TIC system provides power plant owners operators, plant EPCs, turbine OEMs, TIC system & component suppliers, contractors, consultants, and interested individual professionals & associations.

Turbine Inlet Cooling (TIC)

Recapture lost hot-weather power output...
Turboemca Makila TI (helicopter engine derivative) CTs as key elements in their CHP systems. There are three CTs in the Chicago application (1997) and one each in the Oklahoma City and Tulsa applications (1993). CT power output in each case is enhanced through the use of TIC.

The CTs are each on a common shaft with not only an induction motor/generator, but also a 2,000-ton ammonia screw chiller that is one component of the larger District Cooling plant. A side stream of ammonia refrigerant is evaporated in a coil located in the inlet air stream to the CT, thus providing the desired inlet air cooling and CT power enhancement.

Using TIC to cool the inlet air to 50°F (10°C) enhances power output by 33 percent or more on the peak design day. In each of these three installations, the cooling duty for the TIC system is only a small percentage of the total cooling load for the chilled water system was fraction of one percent of the total District Cooling system capacity. Accordingly, it was a simple and economical matter to add the inlet air coil and interconnecting refrigerant lines, with virtually no impact on the overall cooling system design, thus capturing the CT power output increase at very low capital cost.

**THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE (TES) & HYBRID CHILLER PLANT**

**Walt Disney World/Reedy Creek Improvement District**

Lake Buena Vista, Fla.

Creek Improvement District

Walt Disney World/Reedy Creek Improvement District

STORAGE (TES) & HYBRID THERMAL ENERGY

very low capital cost.

CT power output is increased by up to 8 MW (more than a 30 percent increase) in extreme weather conditions, from 26 MW at 95°F (35°C) to 34 MW at 50°F (10°C). And CT heat rate is also improved by approximately 6 percent.

The 5 million gallon (19 million liter) stratified CHW TES reservoir is an insulated, above ground, welded-steel storage tank, 116 feet (35.4 m) in diameter and 67 feet (20.4 m) high. The 57,000 ton-hour capacity provides 2,000 tons for TIC and 3,300 tons for the District Cooling system, for up to 10 hours per day. Design CHW supply temperature is 40°F (4°C) for both systems, with CHW return temperatures of 70°F (21°C) for the TIC system and 55°F (13°C) for the District Cooling system.

Although actual project economics are not available for publication, the TIC-TES project achieved the following results:

- Up to an 8 MW (over 30 percent) increase in on-peak CT power output
- A 12 MW reduction in on-peak power purchases
- Elimination of the need for 3,325 tons of new chiller plant capacity
- Operating energy savings providing an attractive rate of return on the invested capital
- A Net Present Value (NPV) for the project totaling several millions of dollars.

**Utility Power Plant**

**Middle East/Persian Gulf Region**

An existing electric utility power plant in the Middle East/Persian Gulf region is being retrofitted with TIC. The applicable portion of the plant comprises 10 CTs, each a nominal 75 MW, in simple cycle configuration. The TIC system installation is nearly complete, with TIC operations scheduled to commence in 2005.

The existing GE Frame 7EA CTs are being fitted with cooling coils. Coil design will allow inlet air with a dry bulb temperature of 122°F (50°C) to be cooled to 54.5°F (12.5°C). Design cooling load is approximately 3,000 tons at each of the 10 CTs. Air-side pressure drop is limited across the coils and ducting in order to minimize the negative impact of inlet air pressure losses on the CT power output.

A combination chiller plant and TES system have been installed to provide the cooling. The chiller plant employs the packaged plant approach and uses electric motor-driven chillers and, due to the high value of water resources in the region, air-cooled condensers for the R-134a refrigerant. The stratified CHW TES reservoir is an above ground, welded-steel tank, which is charged during 18 non-peak hours per day and discharged during the six hours of peak power demand per day. The 193,000 ton-hour TES capacity provides 30,000 tons of cooling for TIC, for six hours per day, minimizing parasitic power consumption, and maximizing net power plant output, during the period of peak power value.

Net power plant output is guaranteed to be increased by 30 percent in the design day weather conditions. CT heat rate is also significantly improved.

A very low installed capital cost was achieved, in large part through the use of the packaged chiller plant approach, but most significantly by using the TES system to reduce the required capacity of the new chiller plant from 30,000 tons to only 11,000 tons. And by using a relatively high supply-to-return temperature differential in the chilled water system, the size and capital cost of the TES tank (and of the CHW pumps and piping) were minimized.

The total project capital cost is well below half the installed cost of equivalent new simple cycle CT capacity (which would have required the addition of three more CTs).

**References**


**TURBINE INLET CHILLING FOR COMBINED-CYCLE PLANT**

**Brazos Valley | Texas**

**Introduction**

Turbin Air Systems (TAS) recently designed and installed two of their F-50C chiller packages for a 610MW power plant located near Richmond, Texas, about 30 miles south of Houston. The combined-cycle plant, originally built for NRG by Black & Veatch, E&C contractors, and now operated by Brazos Valley Energy LP, includes two GE Frame 7FA gas turbine-generating sets, Heat Recovery Steam Generation (HRSG), and steam turbine-generators, for a combined output of 631MW. The project was commissioned in April 2003 and has completed two summers of successful operations.

**Project Description**

The Brazos Valley Project has two F-50C chiller packages tied together by an optional “forward” pipe rack. Each F-50C package is anode to two Trane CDHF 2500 “Duplex” Chillers and includes a 93.4°F dry bulb and 76.9°F wet bulb, 2500 “Duplex” Chillers and includes 3 x 50% redundant chilled water and condenser pumps; four cooling tower cells; forward pipe rack manifolds; an electrical distribution skid allowing a single medium voltage (4160V) feed from the customer; two sets of inlet air cooling coils; two sets of coil manifold piping; and two sets of supply and return riser piping. The use of Trane Duplex chillers in series provides for the most efficient chiller system in its class. Each basic chiller plant was delivered in three self-contained pieces and installed in one week by a crew of six.

Design conditions for the plant specified 91.4°F dry bulb and 79°F wet bulb, and inlet air at 56°F (13.3°C) with an alternate design point of 52°F (11.2°C), which was selected by the customer. The calculated load for the chilled water system was...
The TIC application added over 15 net MW to the facility’s output, while improving the facility heat rate by over two percent. A major benefit, in addition to the 20 percent increase in output, of inlet air chilling for this project is that the utility knows months in advance the exact power output and heat rate for the plant, regardless of any day’s temperature or special weather conditions (humidity, dry, etc.). This provides for accurate planning of power production as well as better forecasting the municipal utility’s need to purchase power from the market. The ability to know these exact conditions also allows the owner to make better long-term purchases of natural gas.

Summary

This is an example of TAS’ flagship model, the F Series system. Although this package was originally conceived as a “clean-sheet” design to support the F-class fleet of gas turbines, the F-Series chiller model has become the reference standard for all large-tonnage applications, proceeding to support aero-derivative projects as well as District Cooling Applications.

EVAPORATIVE COOLING FOR COGENERATION PLANT

Hunts Bay Power Station | Kingston, Jamaica

Introduction

The Hunts Bay Power Station is a 668-megawatt (MW) combined-cycle cogeneration power plant located in Kingston, Jamaica. The plant is owned by Jamaica Public Service Company. The Hunts Bay facility includes three combustion turbines: two GT Browns and one GE Frame 7. They needed to improve plant operations and increase output and efficiency in order to recover power and generate greater revenue. In addition, nitrogen oxides and carbon monoxide emissions must be continuously monitored and controlled at the facility with minimal environmental impact. Installation of an evaporative cooling system increased power output by 2.4 MW.

Project Description

The average annual growth in demand for electricity in Jamaica over the past 10 years was approximately 5 percent and the forecast for the next five years is 6 percent/annum. “It was expected that by the years 2003 and 2004 the demand will have surpassed our generating capacity,” said Dave Stamp, facility engineer for Hunts Bay Power Station.

A gradual reduction in capacity is expected with increased ambient temperature, hence in Kingston, with high ambient temperatures of 90°-92°F in the summer months, only approximately 85 percent of ISO MCR can be realized.

It was with this in mind that Jamaica Public Service Company Ltd. investigated ways to increase the capacity of their generating units. One such method utilizes evaporative cooling technology to cool the inlet air to the gas turbine.

The Solution

In order to prove the suitability of the inlet air cooling technology to the Jamaican climatic conditions, a pilot project was conceived. Gas Turbine no. 4, a John Brown Engineering M5001F (Frame 5) unit with an ISO rating of 25.5 MW (59°F and 14.7 pounds per square inch absolute (psia) inlet air) and a site rating of 21.750 MW (88°F and 14.7 psia), was selected.

There were several reasons Hunts Bay chose to use an evaporative cooling system at the plant versus other cooling methods: ease of retrofit installation, low operating cost, and low inlet pressure drop.

The pilot test was conducted for six months, from January through June 2000. The results of the test proved that Hunts Bay Power Station benefited from the installation of the evaporative cooling system.

Summary

The Hunts Bay Power Station regained as much as 10 percent of the power capacity with the addition of the evaporative cooling unit.

Benefits:
- Increased Power Output: The maximum load achieved during the test was 24.6 MW at 88°F. This represents an increase of 2.4 MW.
- Reduced Pressure Drop: The old inlet filters were replaced with the evaporative cooler, which resulted in a much lower pressure drop.
- Reduction in Heat: An average reduction in heat rate of 1.6 percent expected with increased ambient temperature, hence in Kingston, with high ambient temperatures of 90°-92°F in the summer months, only approximately 85 percent of ISO MCR can be realized.
- Low Maintenance: The evaporative cooling system is low in maintenance.

This success story was submitted by Munsters Corporation.
CALPINE CLEAR LAKE COGENERATION, INC.

Texas

Introduction

The natural gas fired Calpine Clear Lake Cogeneration power plant in Pasadena, Texas went into operation in 1982. Steam is produced and sold to an adjacent chemical plant; electricity is produced and sold to the plant with excess going to the market. A fogging system was retrofitted later to increase power output by turbine inlet cooling. To further increase the plant’s reliability and capacity for selling additional electric energy during “on-peak” periods, the plant was retrofitted in 1999 with a turbine inlet cooling system comprised of hot water driven absorption chillers, one electric chiller, and a chilled water thermal energy storage system.

Project Description

The cogeneration plant operated with three W501D’s combustion turbines, each of 105.6 MW rated capacity, with total rated capacity of 316.8 MW before the plant was retrofitted in 1999. The retrofit included installation of a hybrid refrigeration system including five absorption chillers (total capacity of 8,300 TR) and one electric chiller (1,200 TR); one 184,000 ton-hr (6.5 mill gallon) capacity thermal energy storage tank, custom built filter houses with cooling coils; and a heat recovery coil retrofit.

The gas combustion turbine inlet air cooling system was designed to cool the ambient air from 95°F dry-bulb/80°F wet-bulb temperature to a 50°F combustion turbine inlet air temperature.

The turbine inlet chilling system also utilizes thermal energy storage. The system is designed to produce and store chilled water energy during 14 “night-time, off-peak” hours and discharge the energy to cool the air during 10 “on-peak” hours of the day to supplement the chillers during the on-peak period. This “partial-storage” design not only reduces the amount of chillers needed but also reduces the on-peak steam and power consumption. During operating periods when the ambient temperatures are less than design, the air can be cooled to temperatures slightly lower than 50°F or alternately the 50°F temp can be maintained for longer than the 10 hr design period per day.

Customer Added Value

The TIC application added over 51 net MW to the facility’s output on the hot day (95°F dry-bulb/80°F wet-bulb temperature) while improving the “on-peak” heat rate by approximately 3.5 percent. A major benefit, in addition to the increase in output for this project, is that Calpine uses waste heat which otherwise would be exhausted to the atmosphere to produce additional “sellable” power during “on-peak” hours of the day. In addition, the colder inlet air temperature increases the mass flow of the air through the gas turbine which results in more cogen steam produced and available for export.

Summary

The owner of this facility has combined multiple strategies including absorption chillers in series with mechanical chilling combined with thermal energy storage to optimize operator flexibility and increase “dispatchable” power. The output and heat rate for the plant is known in advance, regardless of any day’s temperature or special weather conditions (humid, dry, etc.) to take weather variability out of the production equation. This provides for accurate planning of power production as well as better forecasting of power for sale to the market.

Thermal energy storage increases the flexibility and predictability by the operator compared to “on-line” systems, the work of the refrigeration system is done prior to need and the full value of the waste heat is utilized 24hrs/day. In addition, using nighttime hours to store thermal energy reduces plant emissions. Think “green.”

The stories on pages 20-22 were submitted by John S. Andrepont, founder and president of The Cool Solutions Company, Lisle, Ill. John has 30 years of experience in energy technologies, including various turbine inlet cooling projects and over 100 thermal energy storage installations. Cool Solutions provides consulting services related to TIC, TES, and District Cooling systems. He is the current chairman and director of the Turbine Inlet Cooling Association (TICA), www.turbineinletcooling.org.
See The Future Of Gas Turbine Inlet Air Cooling

The YCP-2020 is the first pre-packaged containerized Gas Turbine Inlet Air Cooling (GTIAC) mechanical-based solution in the market. It combines best-in-class chiller plant technology, process design and intelligent controls to deliver a cost-effective, compact and flexible GTIAC system.

Learn how to increase power output of gas turbines and enhance fuel efficiency through mechanical-based GTIAC solutions.

Discover how you can benefit from our comprehensive portfolio of GTIAC solutions.

Chillers • Packaged Systems • Pre-packaged Systems

Visit us @ POWER-GEN Asia 2015 Booth L34

A global diversified technology and industrial leader serving customers in more than 150 countries. The only industrial cooling manufacturer to provide a full line of cooling, compression and control products together with system integration expertise and strong field service. For more information, visit our website at: http://bit.ly/JCIGTIAC or email us at BE-GTIAC@jci.com.
YOUR SINGLE-SOURCE SOLUTION FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY

WWW.CALDWELLENERGY.COM